UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/544,783	08/08/2005	Koichi Terashima	Q89627	5339	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 06/01/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			SENE, PAPE A		
	WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2812		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/544,783		TERASHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Examiner PAPE SENE	Art Unit 2812	

	PAPE SENE	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100		
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);			
appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-6,8,10-12,58 and 59. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Refer to Notes below.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Charles D. Garber/	/P. S./				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2812	Examiner, Art Unit 2812				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Notes: In the applicants' disclosure, an amorphous state is defined as the situation wherein a nickel layer is formed on an amorphous silicon layer as provided in [0021]-[0022] to obtain nickel monosilicide. In addition, applicants fail to disclose from the amendments that both the Nickel and Silicon layers are amorphous prior to the nickel silicide reaction

Natan discloses in its abstract as well that the mixture of (Ni +Si) was at an amorphous state prior to the forming of nickel monosilicide, therefore the nickel and silicon layers are formed in an amorphous state